I. Call to Order  
   a. 8:10 pm  
II. Opening Roll Call  
III. Convening Business  
   a. Swearing in of the President of the Central Student Government  
      i. Chief Justice swears in Cooper Charlton as President of CSG  
   b. Swearing in of the Vice President of the Central Student Government  
      i. Chief Justice swears in Steve Halperin as Vice President of CSG  
   c. Swearing in of the Fifth Assembly of the Central Student Government  
      i. Chief Justice swears in Fifth Assembly of CSG  
   d. Election of the Speaker of the Fifth Assembly.  
      i. Lisner: Nominate Noah Betman → seconded  
      ii. Prebay: Nominate Ben Meisel → seconded  
      iii. Motion to make Clyde & Alex as tellers → seconded  
   iv. Introductions  
      1. Betman: Sophomore in LSA studying Econ & Soc. Elected in Feb 2014 and then to the 4th and 5th assembly. Know how important it is to have a speaker who represents the assembly. Can make a positive change on campus and make the lives of students better. Only can be done if CSG is run effectively & w/respect. Will give me the opportunity to make CSG more transparent & have resolutions passed put on the website immediately. Also make agenda known to the community before the meeting. People don’t know what CSG does. Want to increase engagement with campus community & discuss opinions of the community. Will have committee reports posted and have rep reports made. Served on CSG for a year and a half and understand the procedure well.  
      2. Meisel: Sophomore in LSA studying History & Finance in Ross. Appointed in Jan 2015 to 4th assembly. Noticed that people would sit based on what party they were a part of or grad students. Need to foster a community instead of dividing ourselves. Want to bring the assembly together and make change. Running to bridge the gap between reps of all demographics on campus. Previous speaker did not have the ability to allow others to speak for themselves, needs to be promoted more.
v. Questions

1. Prebay: to both nominees, are you involved on anything on campus that wouldn’t allow you to be an unbiased facilitator?
   a. Meisel: Involved all over campus from many orgs, every CSG member brings opinions to the table, but as running for speaker I will leave those at the door. Do not have personal bias that will affect ability as speaker
   b. Betman: Reason I’m running is b/c I know that I can leave my biases outside my job as speaker. Opinions are for the reps, but as speaker I am aware I have to be impartial

2. Swathi: How well do you know Robert’s rules?
   a. Betman: Have been on the assembly for a year and a half and have abided by Robert’s rules since high school. My time as a rep I learned how they used the rules
   b. Meisel: Very well acquainted, known them since high school. Re-introduced my exposure to them while on the assembly. Discussed with previous speaker on how to use them effectively.

3. How do you plan to bring all of the various parties to create a unifying body?
   a. Meisel: Want to promote an environment where cohesiveness is expected. Want reps to meet new people, will do whatever it takes to bring people together.
   b. Betman: Starts with the fall retreat that we have with the new assembly, really encourage everyone to attend. For us to do the best work we can, we need to know each other. Also need everyone to do rep reports so we all know of each others ideas

vi. Noah Betman will be the new Speaker

IV. Approval of the Agenda
V. Approval of the Previous Minutes
VI. Guest Speakers
   a. Paige Becker, Election Director
      i. This terms election director. Required by election code to debrief you all. Would like to thank the university election commissioners. Ben Reese more than 50% of the UEC, Brian served as backup election director and helped mediate cases between parties. 24% voter turnout for the pres & vp election. 5 votes, closest p/vp election in last decade.
1 passed question on a constitutional amendment. 3 major parties contended. More than 100 candidates for the student assembly. 40/58 seats were contested in the election. 11 complaints filed. Had a well attended candidates meeting, everyone was on time. Candidate filing accepted both paper & email which was successful. Campaign finance filing was the same. Thank you to Clyde for the election administration website. Website administration I’d like to thank Alex b/c I didn’t have editing access to CSG website itself. Suggestions: there were a lot of people w/sympathetic reasons why they couldn’t make the candidates meeting, but that is a strict violation. If there is more than 1 candidates meeting that would be better. Give Election Director website editing access. Filing for candidacy & campaign financing should be done on Google Doc to make things easier. Would also do an online shared calendar.

ii. Updating the Code: Have received suggestions from UEC commissioners, other CSG members, party members, candidates, etc. on how to update election code to avoid problems from this year. Main concern is on running a clean election & having students voices heard. Good that UEC can address these complaints, but if parties are entirely disqualified, goes against democratic process.

iii. Suggestions: Voting membership of UEC. Quorum is 3 members. This means that it is possible for UEC to have quorum and have 1 voting member, so only 1 person would have voting power. Reason that backup election director knows election results is b/c election code mandates that the backup help clean up the election director to get rid of derogatory things. If you give backup director full voting power, have at least 2 or 3 people voting on things. Complaints → there are incentives for parties to file as many complaints as they can to eliminate each other. 1 thing that is working is having the filing deadline at the same time that the results are released. Alternatives would be to limit complaints to file. This isn’t ideal b/c although it allows parties to choose more serious complaints to file, might incite violating the code. Second alternative would be if election director held 2nd meeting on election code for volunteers. Saw that party candidates themselves know the rules & want to follow them. But sometimes the volunteers took things upon themselves that the party members would not have approved of. 3rd alternative could be appointing a special prosecutor instead of having parties themselves filing complaints. Prosecutor would be the one investigating the evidence & seeing if its
worthwhile filing the complaints. Would minimize the incentives to file complaints. There is also a lack of clarity in the code. Ran into a problem in figuring out who is a volunteer for a party and to what extent they were involved. Important to have it clear in the code when a candidate in a party is held accountable for another. Also concerned about harvesting emails. Had to come up with a rule on our own cause there wasn’t one in the code. If harvesting emails is really broadly defined → putting a law on something people didn’t know was against the code. Email violations were a big issue this year. Came down to 2 complaints that could have eliminated 1 or both parties. B/c demerits are assessed based on # of recipients, any email violations is basically disqualification for any member or party. Have to decide if an email violations is really a disqualifying matter. Alternatives would be that each email is 1 violation, but # of listserves can range. Or level of merits can be based on # of recipients. Could be useful to have a runoff election in cases where election is run by less than 1% of the vote. Runoff election until majority. Can also limit write in candidacy. Last problem is that UEC cannot enforce university policy. If it’s not in the code, UEC can’t assess any demerits based on that. Alternatives would be giving UEC enforcing university policy which could be problematic. Could also have university policies be part of the code. Or pick & choose policies to put in the code.

VII. Community Concerns
   a. Blaine Coleman – American Sniper
      i. Unless you speak loudly against Harbaugh’s comments, this place will be known as the American Sniper University. Already see Michigan sniper t-shirts being sold all over the internet, inspired by Harbaugh who has bellowed his pride over the American Sniper who killed thousands of Arabs and called them savages. We have a duty to denounce what he said. Expect you to be embarrassed to be seen as Michigan sniper university. Do you really wanted to represented by someone who assassinate thousands of Arabs and called them savages by way of your coach. You should condemn what he said. Is there any interest in this issue or are you content to be known as this kind of University
      ii. Questions
          1. Are you suggesting an action of denouncement of his statement?
             → Yes, by this assembly tonight
b. Moshgan – American sniper
   i. Has been coming here since 2002 because of human rights violations it commits on Palestinian people. Assembly last semester, 15 people voted to boycott Israel, but now people are voting for divestment. Glad to see new faces here. Not all of you may know what the issue of this movie is about. But American Sniper is saying that he has no shame about killing Arabs. But there is no doubt that he was a racist hater of Arabs and was proud of it. For a university to show the movie, cancel it, and then decide to show it again is unbelievable. University did not allow dialogue for this issue. You can make this community a great place by speaking out and saying that is wrong to allow this movie to be showed on campus. Cannot be proud of this and must speak out against it. Arabs & Muslims have been humiliated on this campus, especially with this movie showing. Best thing to do is have the university apologize to these students on campus.

VIII. Executive Communications
   a. Halperin: An honor to be up here and very excited to get know all of you and work with all you guys.
   b. Charlton: Thank you so much for your hard work throughout the campaign. Want to evaporate party lines, our first things the have to do. We all have to work together. 3 things that I believe that I will promise you and that you will take home as our keys to success. The first would be confidence. You have earned the right to represent students and serve them. Second would be respect. Respect for ourselves, students, and the issues we discuss. 3rd would be execution. We’ve hear great ideas, if we do not do the first two we will not be able to execute. Hope we can make sure that next year will be an even better year.

IX. Report of the Speaker
   a. Betman: Thanks for electing me tonight, really excited to work together and make positive change on this campus

X. Legislative Reports

XI. Committee Reports

XII. Election and Recall of Members
   a. Vice Speaker of the Fifth Assembly
      i. Swathi: Nominate Allie Lisner
      ii. Culver: Nominate Catherine Bergin
      iii. Introductions
         1. Lisner: Sophomore in LSA studying in Org studies and minoring in Social change. 2nd time being on the assembly and
very excited to work with you guys. Wasn’t that please on assembly last year b/c of how divided parties were. People sit by there parties and we would like that to change. As VS I want to change that divide and have people feel more comfortable on having their voice heard. Pretty organized, I will upload the new resolutions & contact info. Since I’ve been on the assembly before I understand Parliamentary procedure & feel confident with it. Want to have a productive assembly no matter what.

2. Bergin: Sophomore in LSA, brand new. English major w/concentration in creative writing. Think I would be a great asset as VS. As an English major phonetics is a suit of mine for taking attendance. Was the head of broadcasting in high school. Good at communicating. As vice chair I would have to cover for the speaker if absent and I think I could do that as well. Last semester I was Greek Life blogger for the Daily & tackled issues within Greek community. Reflects both writing strengths and ability to be unbiased. Can think on my feet, host of wolv-tv network last year. Would love to work with people to see why their attendance is not up.

iv. Questions

1. How will you use your role to best assist Betman?
   a. Bergin: Noah and I will have just met but I feel like we can do a good job. I second the notion to make this a cohesive environment. I know there was only 1 bonding activity done last year, would like to do 2. Want to make this a place where people can speak their mind.
   b. Lisner: Noah and I have grown up together so I think I could work well with him. Mentioned to Cooper before that CSG members don’t really interact outside of these meetings, so would like to attend events that are relevant to the issues we discuss together.

v. Motion to make Andy teller → passed

vi. Catherine Bergin next Vice Speaker of the Assembly

b. Finance Committee: Election of the Chair and Vice Chair
   i. Lisner: Nominate Jacob Metzger for Chair
   ii. Nominate Emma Burke for Chair

iii. Introductions

1. Metzger: Sophomore in Ross. Will be my 2nd term on the Assembly. Think it’s very important that I have been on the
assembly and seen how finance committee works. Also being in Ross, I am familiar with how these issues works and budgeting and how to allocate our money best. I spoke to the last finance chair about his experience with the role. He made a point that we should differentiate our funding from SOFC. Just want to note the importance of this role in particular. Last assembly, we would take time doing things during the meeting that could have been done before. I will keep you guys updated and will be doing the work behind the scene to make this assembly run smoothly.

2. Burke: Junior Kinesiology rep. Sport management major w/minor in econ & finance. Was the purchasing chair of my sorority last year. Had to work with each part of our budget and had to be savvy with our money. Worked closely with out VP in finance. Very detailed oriented person & know how to work in team settings. Strong in conveying more complicated concepts that people can understand. Will be able to take the work we do behind the scenes and tell the assembly in a way that is more understandable.

iv. Questions

1. Prebay: To your understanding what is the role of the finance chair?
   a. Burke: keeping a timely budget, looking at it as a whole and making smart decisions w/that budget
   b. Metzger: as a whole is to be very active in the decision making process throughout the semester. Starts w/creating the budget but also continuing to be updated w/the relationships we have with the orgs we are funding. Having a sound decision making process. Want to convey to body as a whole the info needed to make a decision

2. Ramone: Difference between legislative funds and SOFC funds?
   a. Metzger: SOFC is mainly a funding org so if a student org needs $$ for an event, they can apply for funding from SOFC. Legislative discretionary fund is meant to build partnerships, where we can fund an event but also gain recognition and publicity from an org.
   b. Burke: Legislative fund would create partnership & its important that we are conscientious of the things we are
funding & making sure we make lasting partnerships. If elected I would make sure we are making smart analytical decisions to make strong partnerships.

3. What are your criteria for approving funding?
   a. Burke: Is the org of the event making the most financially smart decision & are they being resourceful? What greater service are they bringing to the community as a whole? How can it further the name of CSG?
   b. Metzger: Primary concern would be to make sure that the org is having its event for a solid reason b/c our main role is to support the orgs that come to us. Events that have gotten funded by us have come to us w/sound plans & structured layouts on what their plan was. Also need to think about what is in it for CSG. While we are thinking about our constituents, we need to know how we benefit in return for this partnership.

v. Jacob Metzger is new Finance chair
vi. Nominate Eva Scarano
vii. Nominate Caroline Gluck
viii. Introductions

1. Scarano: Sophomore in Ross. Haven’t had experience on CSG, but know that CSG can provide funding to orgs on campus and help them make a difference in our community. Being in Ross, have access to business academics that help with budget. Also director of external events for my sorority. In business professional fraternity. Know how to make a good business decision & what good business rationale is. Would love to work with Metzger to become further aware of the logistics of CSG and to give back to it.

2. Gluck: Sophomore in Engineering. Studying Industrial & authorization engineering and Comp sci. First time on CSG. My coursework gives me a lot of background for this position. Do a lot of work budgeting and spending $$ wisely. Hope to finance different orgs on campus to make a difference. Don’t know much about how finances work yet, but would like to work with Metzger to help make a difference.

ix. Questions

1. Ramone: Do you have any ideas on projects for the funding that we have?
a. Gluck: Know that Charlton & Halperin were part of putting outlets in the Ugli. Also want to help with internet speeds in certain areas. Would like to put $$ on that

b. Scarno: Would need to look at current finances & budgets. Can’t answer that right now, want to see where students want funds allocated. Don’t think it’s fair right now to decide what to fund because we don’t have enough information.

x. Motion to make Natalie teller

xi. Eva Scarano is new Finance Vice Chair

c. Resolutions Committee: Election of the Chair and Vice Chair
   i. Nominate Lucky
   ii. Nominate Swathi
   iii. Introductions
      1. Lucky: First time in the assembly. Have talked with Annie Pidgeon who was the last Resolution Chair. I am an amicable person and am kind to others, important for this chair. If people want to come to you with issues, you have to be approachable. Don’t want to stifle anyone’s voice. I am also a hard worker, if I have a task to do. Worked at US congressional campaign in Detroit woke up early and worked late. Also an eagle scout. I am impartial which is important in running this chair.
      2. Swathi: My 3rd assembly. Have been on CSG since freshman year and have experience writing and voting on resolutions. Think the process of writing resolutions is very meticulous. Have had experience with people reaching out to me as well. Planned a police brutality speak out with Ferguson. Also have had people approach me on writing a resolution on fossil fuel divestment. Have had a lot of experience writing resolution.

iv. Questions
   1. Hislop: How would you stay unbiased on certain resolutions especially ones you may have had worked on before
      a. Swathi: I’ve been at most resolution committee meetings which dealt with resolutions I have worked on and I withheld my opinion. For example, the police brutality speak-out, I withdrew the resolution because it wasn’t a good resolution. Not about your opinion
b. Lucky: Resolution committee is there not to express my opinion. Have to listen to the facts and what students say. Not there to influence how it is made, but facilitate how it is created

v. Swathi is new Resolutions Chair
vi. Nominate Lucky as Resolutions Vice Chair
vii. Starkman as Resolutions Vice Chair
viii. Introductions

1. Lucky: I’m an Econ major and going pre-med. Did work for US congressional campaign. Think I really bring to the table things like impartiality, approachability.

2. Starkman: Junior and served on assembly sophomore year. When I was in CSG I wrote a resolution each assembly to give $$ to arts orgs on campus for an event the Accolades. As a sophomore I had difficulty writing these resolutions, so I became very interested in writing these and how they work and now I feel familiar with them. Think I would work very well with Swathi

ix. Questions

1. Swathi: Discuss your history writing resolutions?
   a. Starkman: Wrote my first one sophomore year and found some difficulty. But as a sophomore at that point I felt difficulty in finding someone to reach out to on how to write it. Had to talk to finance committee quite a bit on how to write that resolution.
   b. Lucky: My first year on assembly, may be a bit inexperienced. But I do have Annie Pidgeon as a resource to go to for writing resolutions. As a pre-med student I do have to read many research papers and have the ability to write a proper resolution.

2. Pitt: How would you bring a diverse opinion to the committee?
   a. Lucky: CSG is a family that accepts each others opinions. If anyone has any questions or concerns they can come to me. Not sure how to answer this question.
   b. Starkman: When I wrote my past resolution, Swathi helped me a lot and was very grateful to her and that is why I am running under her. Think it’s very important because this is how change happens. Now know how what to ask other committees regarding resolutions
3. Pitt: Since you haven’t worked with these other people before, how will this affect you working on this committee?
   a. Starkman: Think this is one of the most bipartisan positions, looking more at the idea than who is writing the idea. Think it’s a cool opportunity to work with everyone on the assembly.
   b. Lucky: Haven’t really worked with that many people on CSG, but I think I can offer a fresh perspective. Resolutions does work across party lines, not your view that’s important but the author of the resolution.

4. Helber: Resolutions can be time consuming, so do you have the time to devote to this committee?
   a. Lucky: Yes I do, found out what times I needed to be available for CSG and am willing to put in more time for this committee:
   b. Starkman: Yes I put in all of my effort into the things I am doing. More familiar with resolutions process so I am more willing to put in more time and effort for this committee

   x. Lucky is Resolutions Vice Chair

d. Rules Committee: Election of the Chair and Vice Chair
   i. Nominate Ferguson → New Rules Chair
   ii. Nominate Martinez → New Rules Vice Chair

e. Communications Committee: Election of the Chair and Vice Chair
   i. Nominate Stone
   ii. Nominate Helber

   iii. Introductions

1. Stone: Sophomore in LSA. New in CSG but also involved in Wolverine Support Network, also on exec board as secretary on Hillel. Very organized, very transparent not only within CSG but also with student body. As someone who wasn’t on CSG last year, I didn’t really know much about it. It’s important that students are aware of our actions. Would like to work w/Steven & Cooper w/a video or email to send to student body every so often. Want to work on communications within CSG as well

2. Helber: Junior in LSA, major in psych and minor in business. Have worked on Greek council. Can reach to student body, Greek, life & Ross community. Became chair last CSG assembly for communications. Started making weekly bulletins
that we posted online where students could see resolutions and such. Would like to utilize social media more & make bulletins more accessible. Also open to more creative suggestions. Also did the slideshow by Berts for the outlets in the Ugli. Want to communicate the things CSG does to the students

iv. Questions

1. Lisner: How are you planning on reaching communities you’re not a part of?
   a. Helber: Greek life is small, but you can utilize it a lot more b/c people within Greek life are also a part of different orgs. Also want to reach out to student orgs we’re not a part of
   b. Stone: Worked closely with Shira on Hillel and made relationships with other leaders on campus. Would like to work w/Finance to be in contact with the orgs we’re giving funds to. Want to foster a relationship in which we can help out these orgs & they can help us

2. How would you plan to communicate such issues to orgs on North? Students on north tend to associate CSG as something that is mainly on central campus
   a. Stone: There are supposed to be 2 north campus meetings and we should utilize these to help spread the word on north and invite students on north to these meetings. Can also work with leaders from engineering and other north schools
   b. Helber: Just reaching out to people on North would be helpful, maybe doing things like putting things up in the Dude. Also make more of a presence on North in general with fliers

3. Meisel: What do you want CSG communications to look like on campus?
   a. Helber: Not necessarily what communications will look like but CSG will look like. Think we’ve done somewhat of a good job of making students aware of CSG, but ultimate goal would be to have everyone know what CSG is and make it extremely transparent
   b. Stone: about 75% of students I spoke to didn’t know what CSG was and would like to lower this number to 0%. Think it’s important that students know what we’re
doing. Idea of people of CSG having a coffee cart would be good so students can learn our faces and speak to us directly about issues

v. Helber is Communications Chair
vi. Nominate Stone for Vice Chair
vii. Nominate Culver for Vice Chair
viii. Introductions

1. Stone: Taylor has done the job before, so as a vice chair it would be a good learning experience and show me the ropes. Think she had a good idea that I would like to collaborate with her on. Excited for any opportunity to put my passions & capabilities to its greatest potential

2. Culver: Engineering Rep, 1st time on assembly. Know how to reach out to North campus. Know all the spots on north where people might not be aware of CSG. Good communicator, been told by professors & career recruiters, both in person & in writing. Would say I’m proficient in social media, did a lot of campaigning on Facebook. Would have people come up to me and ask what I’m doing in CSG. Goal is to, during elections next year, to not explain to people what CSG is doing.

ix. Katie Culver Communications Vice Chair

f. Executive Nominations Committee: Election of the Chair and Vice Chair

i. Nominate Micah

ii. Nominate David Schafer

iii. Introductions

1. Micah: Sophomore in LSA. Interested in this position b/c I think I can successfully fulfill the duties of this position and want to make sure the people nominated are qualified. Have conducted interviews before and am currently conducting interviews for an org I’m in. Have the ability to be unbiased & can pick individual best qualified for the position

2. Schafer: Agree w/a lot of what Micah said. What interests me is the collaborative work that this position can do w/Cooper & Steven. Job as chair is to go over nominations. I’ve been interviewed and have done interviews before. In high school I served as a liaison between board of education and students. I would be representing thoughts and viewpoints, though not my own as I will be unbiased. Loved campaigning and worked hard at it and talked to many. Many of the issues I heard fell under
the work of commission chairs. Our job to evaluate the nominees for these chairs. Work very hard and I am an amicable person.

iv. Questions

1. Prebay: Pretty intensive in the early weeks & months of the next fall, will you have the time to interview these candidates
   a. Schafer: Definitely, knew this upon hearing about this position. CSG will be my main commitment. When I ran I knew I was making a commitment to CSG, not just as a representative but also as part of this committee.
   b. Micah: Also won’t be an issue for me. Quality over quantity. Made sure my other commitments wouldn’t interfere w/this

v. Schafer is Exec Committee Chair

vi. Nominate Simor → New Exec Committee Vice Chair

g. Ethics Committee: Election of the Chair and Vice Chair

i. Nominate Jessie Arm

ii. Nominate Thomas Hislop

iii. Introductions

1. Arm: Freshman new to assembly. Throughout the campaign, I noticed unethical behavior on both party sides. Didn’t know how political CSG was. Blew me away to see how intense things got and how harsh things were. Means to me that there are ethical problems with this body. Found out that ethics committee is kind of a blow off committee and hadn’t even met once last year and I wasn’t comfortable with that. This is a serious committee. Think it’s okay to hold ourselves to a high ethical standard & it’s time that we recognize that.

2. Hislop: Sophomore going into Ford school where I will be focusing in ethics & politics. During campaign I noticed some activity that I would consider unethical. Would like to work with UEC And beyond this realm to make sure that what we do is far from Shady. Worked on governor campaign over the summer in which we worked on controversy. Discovered how ethics can change political race as well as candidates. Also have worked on ethical behavior in high school by meeting with students based on their conduct

iv. Questions

1. Can you elaborate more on the experience that qualifies you?
a. Hislop: this is something that I’d like to work on in the future. Over the summer, discussed on how candidates skew their words and words of others
b. Arm: Interning in congress in DC over the summer and see how the legislative process works there. Worked on the campaign 2 years ago over the summer and I stopped working b/c I discovered some unethical behavior of the candidate I was supporting. Recognize unethical behavior in politics and willing to stand up against it

2. As someone who was directly involved in the litigations during the elections, interested in making sure there isn’t frivolous violations made against any parties. How will you work with UEC to prevent these?
   a. Arm: It’s bipartisan and recognize that both parties did unethical things. But CSG is not 2 parties, we are a unified body going forward and it’s important that we act as one. We will work with UEC and reform Election Code.
   b. Hislop: Some issues are what are volunteers, and how can we address listserves. All goes back to clarity. Everyone should understand definitions and that is something I believe to be very important

3. Meisel: What specifically do you think about legislation in relation to ethics will be done for us to be ethical as possibly
   a. Hislop: Think loopholes can help us, up to a person’s interpretation. Need to work w/Resolutions, think that’s most important
   b. Arm: Disagree, think that loopholes don’t help us. We have to obey the rules and Ethics should work closely to Rules committee. Big picture is good, but at the same time to be ethical we must abide own bylaws

4. Lisner: Curious how both of you would hold CSG members up to a standard
   a. Arm: Find it hard to answer that question, as Ethics we should be clarifying ethics. To address what you’re saying the best way to do that is to be democratic about it. Think that the picture we paint for the ethics committee right now isn’t the right one
b. Hislop: Want to make ethics the forefront of the elections & want to make it a democratic process. Also want to adjust bylaws in relation to ethics

v. Hislop Ethics Chair
vi. Nominate Jesse Arm Ethics VC
vii. Nominate Joe Ambrose Ethics VC
viii. Introductions

1. Arm: Nothing new to add, know what my vision for ethics committee is

2. Ambrose: Sophomore majoring in Poli-Sci hoping to be in Ford. Facilitation & mediation is important to ethics. Involved in orgs that are social justice oriented such as an inter-group dialogue which discusses identities and privilege. Also led an alternative spring break trip in Texas where I had to mediate conflicts. In PCap, facilitate a theatre program in a prison. Trying to up my facilitation skills through this ethics committee.

ix. Questions

1. What is the role of Ethics VC?
   
   a. Ambrose: Would be a team position w/Thomas. Come up with rules, flexible to do whatever
   
   b. Arm: Definitely a partnership role, would respect what Thomas needs from me as well as putting in my vision for the committee

x. Joe Ambrose Ethics Vice Chair

XIII. New Business

a. A.R. 5-001: A Resolution to Request an Audit of the University Endowment for Companies Directly and Uniquely Responsible for Ethical Violations Throughout the World

i. Modell: Been a rep for the past 4 years. Have seen a lot of people and resolutions that are concerned with our endowment. Have seen divestment from fossil fuels and divestment from companies that infringe on Palestinian rights. Students are clearly not happy w/the university’s endowment. Seems that students have to go through a big fuss to address this. Believe that university should address this. This resolution would ask the university to make an audit that would review the university endowment. At resolutions committee I would like to create standard this resolution could abide by b/c that is currently missing in this resolution. Would like to make the results of this resolution to be public
ii. Questions

1. What is the relationship between the resolution regarding divestment from companies who infringe on Palestinians? 
   What I saw from that meeting is that b/c the scope of the resolution was very narrow, reps felt that it was targeting groups of people. This resolution would address these issues w/o a political charge.
2. Is this resolution too broad and might not represent student issues as well? 
   Did mention that this resolution does lack ethical standards, so this would need to be included. Think this may help sort of solve that problem
3. How exactly do you foresee this coming to a decision on these standards? 
   CSG represents the students, so those who go to resolutions committee, we can discuss a standard to create
4. Assuming that the university is invested in a lot of companies, will this really be feasible if it is passed? Might be a daunting task to investigate all of these companies 
   We’re requesting a response by the 15th of March 2016. In a year’s time, being able to look into some companies, there are some groups who report on the unethical behavior of companies. This is the sort of thing the university should be doing and even if it is a difficult task it is worth taking on
5. Pitt: Once the standards are set, than the audit would be the juror & executor. Would there be any overseer? 
   This is simply asking for a report, but does not state that only one individual would be overseeing this and can add others
6. Did you consult anyone else besides the others? Such as outreach to students? 
   Reached out to a few of my friends. But at the last assembly, this resolution was tabled b/c of its lack of a standard. But had a good amount of support at the last assembly
7. Shafer: What is your plan going forward without having this ethical standard? 
   We’re not determining anything. We’re not determining anything. We’re making a request that they do these things. This is not the text you will see before you next assembly. There will be amendments made

iii. Referred to Resolutions

XIV. Old Business
XV. Motions and Other Business
XVI. Announcements and Matters Arising
   a. Martinez: Rules VC, Wednesday nights I do swing dancing as part of swing Ann Arbor. Have free drop in lesson from 8-9 and then dance from 9-11 that’s $4 for students. Usually in Union or the League
   b. Ambrose: In an improve group. Last show is on Friday at 8pm
   c. Betman: Committees will not be required to meet this week as part of attendance, which will start later on. But would like Resolutions to meet

XVII. Closing Roll Call

XVIII. Adjournment
   a. 11:19