I. Call to Order – 7:40pm
II. Opening Roll Call
III. Approval of the Agenda
   a. Motion to approve, seconded, approved
IV. Approval of the Previous Minutes
   a. Minutes from 10th meeting on November 3rd, 2015 (Sent via email)
      i. Motion to approve, seconded, approved
V. Guest Speakers
   a. IGR Training
      i. You all have seen me when I was nominated; I’m Matthew, Chair of Campus Inclusion Commission. Because of diversity initiatives that are happening now, it’s a good idea for CSG to be representing all identities and understand using power structure with all identities. The goal is to do a brief IGR training, discussing identity and power structure, including a move forward/move back exercise. We’re all leaders, elected, representing the student body and advocating for them. I’d like to introduce 2 of my facilitators – Coco and Lakshmi, both IGR facilitators and there will be a few others rolling in.
         1. Noah - Like Matt was saying, it’s going to take longer than 30 minutes
            a. Motion to extend by 15 minutes, seconded, approved
               i. Time extended to 45 minutes
         2. Noah - It’s most likely going to take an hour
            a. Motion to extend by 15 minutes, seconded, approved
               i. Time extended to 1 hour
         3. Matt - Moving in to different rooms, in groups of school?
            a. Motion to move into closed session, seconded, approved

Now in Closed Session

1. Jacob - Under the CSG recognized interns program that the assembly recognizes, can interns stay for the training? A- Yes

2. Matt - Count off, remember your number

IGR Training:

   1) Remember pronouns, double confidentiality, lean into discomfort.
   2) Don’t assume other people’s identities.
   3) Know if/how being on CSG affects how people perceive you.
4) Identities can provide privileges or disadvantages.
5) Try to engage people who might be intimidated to speak in certain situations, either in those situations or privately.
6) CSG is advocating for the student body – advocate for one another, the students we represent, and ourselves.
7) It’s our responsibility to speak up and advocate for those who aren’t speaking or can’t speak.
8) It’s our responsibility to set the climate.

Noah:

a. Motion to move into opened session, seconded, approved
b. Motion to suspend rules, add resolution AR -015, Resolution to Defend Sanctuary Cities and Undocumented Students to New Business Under item “E”
   a. Swathi – It’s a brand new resolution, this past week we wrote a resolution with BAMN, unfortunately all of our suggestions weren’t taken, we’re going to introduce the resolution separately to save time
      ii. Lamin – What happened? A - rules were suspended to add resolution to agenda, moving into community concerns

VI. Community Concerns
   a. Pooled time by BAMN
      i. Tyler Wood, member of BAMN. Speaking in support of our sanctuary campus resolution. First want to talk about the 2006 immigrant rights marches in response to the racist anti-immigrant bill that some members of congress put forward. It would have made it a crime to be an immigrant or aid/know an immigrant. As a teacher or neighbor you’d be required to turn in your neighbor or student to police and immigration (ICE). There were marches all across the country and on college campuses that shut the country down. In LA there were student walkouts 4 days in row. On the weekend, there were 1.5 million people in the streets of LA and other marches across the county. After that, the bill was completely off the table; even the people who put it forward wouldn’t touch it. Then that helped get Obama elected, which was a real gain. We got out first Black president. After that the immigrant rights movement didn’t stop. DACA was passed by Obama in 2012, which protects young people who meet certain qualifications from deportation; they can be released for 2 years and reapply, work permits/ drivers licenses. Obama later passed, for
parents of childhood arrivals, if parents weren’t US citizens, they’re still in danger of being taken away from their children. It was recently stalled in courts today or yesterday. This resolution can help the immigrant rights movement. In California, the DREAM Act passed, students can get state financial aid, even if undocumented, free legal services on campus. What that creates is still a 2nd class status for undocumented immigrants in this country. Mostly Latino, Asian, Middle-Eastern immigrants. Because of relief from deportation they can stay, but they’re still not citizens, can’t vote, go to school, no higher education, can’t get in, can’t afford it, can’t access financial aid/scholarships. Last time we heard the grad student talking about how she was so lucky to get what she deserves. But she can’t get the scholarship the administration is offering, can’t get in-state tuition as a grad student because that’s only for undergrads. This is a real 2nd class citizenship that is like Jim Crowe of the south when Blacks couldn’t vote that is making a 2nd tier status. Today, this morning I called out President Schlissel during the diversity summit. I called out his crime for prosecuting charges against BAMN members. This resolution gets at the heart of what he’s doing. Two of our undocumented immigrant leaders are being prosecuted and with the threat of deportation. Are we going to take a stand against oppression? The free speech of undocumented immigrant leaders… BAMN and 2,000 students who signed a position, whose exact resolution you’re voting on, do think this should be a safe place for students and that there should be scholarships? Pass the University of Michigan DREAM Act to provide financial aid, basic rights and protections.

ii. Chelsea, Community Member – Statement from Jose, an undocumented immigrant banned from campus. We’re standing up for minority, women, and immigrant rights. This resolution in essence represents true commitment to defense of immigrant rights. This must be settled tonight. The delay stems from disagreements on quality/treatment of undocumented immigrants on campus. I’d like to remind you that you ran your campaign and accepted positions to represent students at an international institution. Composed of hundreds or even thousands of students from across the world. Today there was a diversity event sponsored by UM where President Schlissel spoke, with the character of a publicity stunt, no serious discussion occurred on the state of immigrant students on campus. Unwillingness of institution to recognize rights of immigrants on campus. We ask you to vote yes, take student leadership on the conversation of a crisis affecting students. The administration will soon follow yours steps. We can’t wait.
iii. Community Member– Hope y’all are listening, there are some people on their phones. Listen to what we’re saying. Going back to Schlissel’s diversity thing this morning, how increasing minority students is a difficult process. They say that the minority rates falling are natural but it’s difficult to increase the rates – a racist university. The resolution is to address students. How the Assembly works is bureaucratic games…and if you feel unqualified to educate yourselves, you are. We’ve seen people on the Assembly afraid to speak out about how you feel. The game continues tonight, this is a political difference. It’s a substantial political disagreement. This is the place to do that.

1. Assembly Member– a lot of us weren’t at the summit, can you give a summation?

2. Community Member – The Diversity Assembly is part of the summit, built as event where students/community could bring comments/suggestions to President Schlissel. When we got there they didn’t let us into the main room.

3. Community Member– all of us were told, on the signs, to be there at 9am. We were there on the off chance at 8:17, we saw, I can’t express the monolithic reality of the audience at 8. Other people were told to come earlier for networking. It was so clear, if there were, let’s say, 300 people; there were 50 people of color, maybe even less than that were students, mostly grad students. Those people were getting seats. At 9, all of the students go and they’re saying I can go talk with the president, might be our only chance. I get there and am told that there’s no room so go to the 4th floor and watch in a conference room and watch on a screen as he gives the speech. Then, I’m sorry, after that a Q and A session, which wasn’t people going up and finally being able to vent frustrations with the university not taking this seriously. People were really angry and Schlissel wasn’t up there, no one was up there. There was a journalist from Chicago, paid to stand and smile at you while you talk about issues on campus that make you want to die. That’s what we had to deal with this morning. We see this at summits, meetings, people who don’t care. This is why we’re bringing to you as it is so you can be that voice for people who are constantly disappointed.

4. Tyler - Finally Schlissel comes up on stage after 30 minutes of comments and questions. The journalist says you’re not addressing students concerns. Schlissel said there’s subterranean anger. Blatantly racist and really weird. That’s quoted in the article. I
started filming and have videos of what happened to. After the comments, Schlissel comes up for 3 minutes and says abstract things and its over. It went really well, we called him out on bringing charges against students and the journalist followed up.

5. Community Member – It felt like talking to a brick wall. The journalist isn’t part of the university sphere, and Schlissel is in the front row sitting down, it felt detached. I have videos of Chelsea and Keshia speaking and myself will be uploading them on Facebook and Youtube.

iv. Motion to extend time by 5 minutes, seconded, approved.

1. Alex – I wanted to ask to bring it back to legislation, what are the expressed concerns with this and the previously proposed resolution? A – I was blindsided, I heard when I came in that you’re voting on two.

2. Alex (follow-up) – They are technically different, from what I understand one is simply a branch off the other. Because the varying aspects are my question? A – one was presented based on the previous resolution.

3. John (Community Member) – We brought a resolution about Ferguson last year. People on the Assembly were terrified of voting. They took out some of our language, our resolution and the other resolution we haven’t had chance to read through – these are the kind of games I’m talking about, I’d like to read this.

4. Assembly Member – reading Daily article, quote from Schlissel – Improvement has to be lead and can only come from folks on admission committees. What do you make of that? A -thanks for asking, it’s part of the publicity stunt, he calls in the diversity strategic plan. He’s president and he’s acting like he has no power to influence admissions. In every college there’s different things going on, and he doesn’t make any sense.

5. Assembly Member– where do you think CSG fits into his idea of groups/committees, did he address CSG? A – The Sanctuary campus addresses those issues.

6. Sierra – Have leaders of your organization attempted to meet with Schlissel one on one? A – No.

VII. Executive Communications

a. Cooper
The conversation around course evaluations continues, we have a meeting tomorrow to continue negotiations. As I described last meeting, I have 3 stipends wanted. One, that they’re given to advisors from Winter 2015 so students can make educated decisions. Also, written commitment that Fall 2016 will have new implantations then. Third, that access to course evaluations given to the exec team and Micah to walk through what evaluations mean so we’re educated. Next, there’s new conversation about testing accommodations centers. If a student has ADHD, etc we want to make sure there’s no student left behind. We’re working with Dean Martin.

Steven – The first CSG Race and Ethnicity requirement forum, Thursday November 19th 5:30-7 in LSA Student Government, it’s important we strongly support the Race and Ethnicity requirement.

Assembly Member – The Mental Health Summit is Saturday, invited 80 mental health campus leaders, hoping students will be in contact with one another, I’ll update you next week.

Alex – Diag Feedback Day is Tuesday; I’m sending out a doodle for 1 hour time slots, or just stop by, it’s a good way to talk to people. We’re calling it WTF or What To Fix to get attention, and handing out free food.

Jacob – An update on behalf of the Elections Director… I filed 2 complaints Friday, as a result we met Saturday night. One demerit was assessed to John Houghton, running for DPS Oversight Committee, with a 3% reduction in votes. The other individual has withdrawn candidacy. They also considered a request from advisory opinion of John Lin on the question of legality of Super Pacs in student government elections. They denied the request to hear an advisory opinion, and will be taking action to research questions and law students have offered to do constitutional research on Super Pacs. I plan on filing a brief on behalf of CSG opposing.

VIII. Report of the Speaker

a. Noah – Thank you for being here and participating in IGR training, I sent most of you emails on your absences. Thank you for responding; there were a few discrepancies. If you have any questions stick around. Always email csg.speakers.umich.edu with absence info even if we spoke in person, especially if we spoke in person because I may forget and we have to go through a whole email exchange. It makes things easier if everything’s in writing. Please stay off your phones in assembly meetings. I understand if you have an emergency, but please take it in the hallway so we can get through all the resolutions tonight, it’ll take longer if you’re distracted. One of the engineering reps, Daniel Wilson has
resigned; the position will be filled soon. He felt unable to fulfill his responsibilities. Questions?

IX. Representative Reports
    a. David Schafer – Noah has been pushing us, I said why not. I’ve been trying to work on stuff, I know people are busy. First is working with the chair of the health commission. I’m talking to Brian, he talked to us, the DPS liaison. Had an idea it’s on the U of Iowa website. Weekly YouTube videos profiling a law enforcement officer from the university or the city. Could be on what we’re doing on campus around sexual assault, alcohol, transports, etc. It can normalize relations to students and the people protecting us and their backgrounds. Good news, people who have seen it like it, I forwarded it to John Seto, if you’d like to join us on this initiative reach out. Myself and Thomas, Hannah, Sloane, Sarah, Katie and others are working on a prescription drug abuse awareness campaign. Set for February, it’s a big issue, we’ve seen it effect friends. Hopefully you know the story of Josh Levine. Hannah brought it to the Dean of Pharmacy School and he was big fan. Meeting next Thursday, we’d love you to come and lastly, mental health. Thank you, I heard it passed, was very happy sorry I wasn’t there. We’ve begun the process of reaching out to translate the idea into reality. Reached out to associate deans of undergrad, love for all to help. There’s many schools/colleges on campus and we’d love for you all to meet with your respective department chairs. I’ll forward and post in FB group.

X. Committee Reports
    a. Rules Committee
        i. Jared – Rules committee talked about cool things, first is to change how absences work, also penalty for gallery members since it had come up, we had a few this past semester and wanted to clarify. If unsure just say POI what is going on and I’ll try to explain. We still meet Wednesdays, 6:15 here.
    b. Finance Committee
        i. Hannah – For sake of time, we discussed Allie’s resolution, I’ll let her discuss that. We meet 9:15 Wednesdays at Ross.
    c. Communications Committee
        i. Katy – For the Ugli screens they haven’t emailed us back, next semester we’ll have a table in Mason and ask you to staff.
    d. Resolutions Committee (Report attached)
        i. Swathi – Sorry about the confusion, we had the resolution about freedom fighters, got some emails. Want to clarify it’s a religious holiday, similar to Rosh Hashanah if you want to get off for class for Veteran’s Day. Resolution on sanctuary cities, Austen wrote resolution and brought to the
committee, tried to synthesize not replace, attempted to make changes, we’re going to introduce a new resolution.


XI. Election and Recall of Members
   a. Ben – Can we have quorum continued since there are not enough members here? Quorum call?
      i. Quorum Call
         1. Noah – We have quorum, 34.
         2. Farah - POI how many for quorum? A –Less than 34. Daniel resigned recently. We have more than enough for quorum

XII. New Business
   a. A.R. 5-011: A Resolution to Amend the Operating Procedures to Change the Counting of Absences for Assembly Members
      i. Jared – Currently with the operating procedure, absences are done per academic term. Meetings begin in April, there’s confusion over absences. There’s two options, either have April included in the Fall term or split the assembly year more equally election to election. Sworn in April to November, November meeting to the last in April. Note on the bottom that shows how imbalanced it is now. Which one do people prefer or other suggestions?
         1. Ramon – New member orientation, question of where absences go?
         2. Swathi – From Sarah Brenner, she liked 2nd option, makes more fair in terms of counting. 1st adds option for people to add in fall.
         3. Ramon – 2nd option makes difficult for speakers when to have the attendance sheet ready.
         4. Ben – Current system, in fall there’s more meetings; it promotes students to come more often isn’t that good thing? A – Either way there are only 6 absences.
         5. Jared – Only if you absolutely have to miss any…absences are for when you have to miss.
         6. Ramon – With resolution, you’ll be less likely to save absences for the end.
         7. Ben – Tonight tons of people left knowing their absences. Missing less in the beginning is good for staying involved? A – Equitable, should be absences distributed equally. We want to distribute absences over entire year in a fair way.
         8. Ramon – There’s two attendances per meeting
         9. Thomas – Absences in general, what do you think of lower absences for committee chairmen? Chairmen have a bigger
commitment. It’s important they do come every week? A – Agreed, that’s the point of 2 people in charge. Yes they have made chair commitment but come with own responsibilities. They do have to submit reports and work with resolutions that come their way. Except for ethics…

10. Joe – If we were to take 2\textsuperscript{nd} option, are all absences cleared after next week? A – Forgot to put clause, personally I feel we would enact it and start with us. If the resolution is accepted, a new group sworn in counts as new record for absences. At end of semester all absences reset naturally, we’re changing the reset date.

ii. Comments on how to improve
   1. Ben – Update for clarity
   2. Travis – I prefer 2\textsuperscript{nd} option
   3. Caroline – The whole year?

b. A.R. 5-012: A Resolution to Amend the Operating Procedures to Clarify How Penalties to Gallery Members Are Given

i. Ramon – We mentioned during the rules committee, the idea is who gets to assess penalties for gallery misconduct. Making explicit that the speaker gets to decide whether or not someone has not followed the rules for gallery members. In addition, making explicit that members can motion to add or subtract offenses. If a rep believes Noah isn’t doing his job, they can motion to add or detract offenses. It works the same way as appealing decision of chair, back of packets talks about 2/3 vote.

ii. Jared – There are options where speaker may not make decision, that’s why it’s explicit to appeal and add in addition to remove. We’ve done it this way for 3 years it just wasn’t written properly.

   1. Farah – Just curious of the reasoning behind it just being the speaker who has discretion? A – Historical precedence, was talking to Andy and others beforehand, been this way since reorganized under CSG. Just wanted to make it clear. First it’s speakers discretion, then vote. Ideally its more straightforward instead of debate, can appeal in time of motions.

c. A.R. 5-013: A Resolution to Allocate Funds to Purchase Additional Water Refill Stations

i. Kevin – Barbara Hagen approached CSG through exec contact about continuing program, happened 5 years ago regarding water refill stations. That was last major project we’ve done. CSG covered costs of physical unit. There’s 2 costs, cost of unit and of installation. We ask that buildings receiving pay for the installation. Proposing legislative and exec 50/50 split, for purchase of 15 water units cross campus. Barbara had 3 buildings
on campus approach asking about possibility of installment. Like to defer to now debate what to fix, a commission so we can have a group of eyes to look campus and see where geographical gaps are.

ii. Jared – We funded 18 in 2010, over last couple years have done smaller efforts, e as assembly gave 2500 to support and year before that did a few stations from reps who noticed gaps and wanted to fill.

iii. Kevin – we want to commit to Central and North, remembering importance of whole community. Fall 2012 was 1st year started handing out water bottles, an interesting trend, at the beginning of year a lot of students admitted to using single use bottles, at the end of year all reported complete switch. Great way to decrease campus impact and give students program they can enjoy

1. Ben – Worked with student disability commission to find if accessible places for students? A – Drives decision making process, that was something hadn’t foreseen but adapting going through, legal requirements certain amount have to be accessible.

2. Ben (follow up) – One up staircase in Lorch that was the issue. A – Yes, accessible locations not just heights.

3. Zach – What’s current state of legislative discretionary find? A- only thing in fund was 10k for football Saturday dining hall early openings. Dining only requested 12k, only 7 from legislative and 5 from exec. Currently legislative allocation 28k so comfortable operating 18k remaining.

4. Alex – How are we determining what buildings again? A – Looking to provide financial support, haven’t ironed it out. I proposed passing to commission so we have group of students involved. Balanced between some buildings who have asked for it and places students identify

5. Alex – My constituents would like one, and there are undergrads who come in our building and would like one.

6. Jared – Building managers have to be able to provide installation funding.

7. Swathi – From Sarah Brenner: Would you be opposed to finding which buildings need before passing resolution? A – When met with Barbara, list of 3 requested, as Jared mentioned complicated because we can’t get all stakeholders in agreement within a week. There are complications in previous installations with one particular building where cost ballooned unforeseeable. Not comfortable asking managers to commit to that in 1 week
8. Jared – Could establish short list, committee, and process of how it’ll be decided.

iv. Comments on how to improve
1. Joe – Grammatical things, line 3 to be continues. Line 4 encourages, line 9 accessible? A – Didn’t proofread
2. Ben – Dental school if we can.
3. Steven – Reached out to Ryan, chair of campus improvement commission he has accessibility data.
4. Cooper - Make clear during reads want to get financial commitment done, if we stall there’s money left on the table. This is a-this semester initiative.
5. David – Resolved cause encourage collaboration with CSG and office of OSC to determine mutually agreeable locations to place stations.

d. A.R. 5-014: A Resolution to Support the Maize and Blue Cupboard
i. Allie – Yield to Matt Fidel
ii. Matt Fidel – have packets for you guys to see what its all about. We’re not just a food bank we’re a place for 2nd kitchen for a lot of kids. Fights against food insecurity. There are no grocery stores on campus; we want to be extra monthly go to place when student feels like they’re struggling. We rescue food from the local community, perfectly fine and legal, bring it to campus and give it away to students once a month. There’s replenish that’s expensive; Kroger is 30 min bus ride. We’re the solution to that. Resolution is asking for 1k. Trying to attain 501c3 status to illicit donations from alumni, students, AA residents. 65 fee to Gov. They’re covering application fees, not lawyer fees. Extra $350 to stock canned goods available. To give food they can keep in cupboard whenever necessary. Right now what we do mostly is give out bread, veggies, fruit. We want to be able to have stuff to sustain kids longer than short periods. Food isn’t going to last a whole month, want to give students longer access. Eventually something more permanent.
1. Jacob – Are you a sponsored student government organization? A– We’re a part of Enactus, a student organization in collaboration with student food co. Not student org, but a program of student org. Money will go to Enactus. Eventually will break off but right now using student food co resources to start foundation.
2. Jacob (follow up) – This money would be given to a student org registered with university? A – Yes.
3. Katie – Where do you give out and do you foresee being able to grow to more than 1x month? A – Union on Wednesdays,
consistent always in Union. Already tripled attendance last 2 distributions. Hoping to get permanent space to offer cupboard hours. Fresh produce limited to fridge, looking for space.

4. Jesse – Phenomenal idea, CSG could take pride in getting behind. Any way for long term more involved in hands on basis? Any way to play bigger role? A - We would love to work with you more and reach more students. We’ll get back to you.

5. Tristan – To piggyback – How to make sure students are aware? A – Right now email marketing, housing, co ops, grad students. Also flyering posters social media word of mouth. Its becoming huge thing. Want to increase organization, working with umsfp to start sustainability. Trying to work with dept. of health, not sure how relationship will go. Working with marketing, working so far. It’s an ideal role for CSG as voice of students to utilize CSG, reach to student body to reach greater number of students.

6. Alex – Noticed you have CSG on graphic. Are you getting funding from Enactus? A – CSG funded before once through Enactus for promotional items for tablecloth etc. That was from founders in Enactus. Very thankful for them to add to legitimacy.

7. Ben – Do you work with dining? A – Yes, advising not directly anymore. Dining has large student engagement department. Not a whole lot for dining to do besides advise. We’re starting working with campus farm to be able to use in food distribution. Looking to work with dining and sustainability.


9. Jacob – Who shows up for monthly distribution? A – About 45 percent people who are unable to access grocery stores.

10. Jacob (follow up) – University? Residents? Students? A – Students, grad and undergrad, grad students is huge focus. People with families. Also undergrads in general. Everyone is a student.

iii. Comments to improve

1. Zach – Include previous CSG involvement.

e. A.R. 5-015

i. Austen - Not perfect, more work to be done, in general starting with this one will be more efficient, expedite process, in light of trend of not taking into account suggestions/criticism.

1. Alex - Can you outline changes made? A – First 20 lines would strike, want to make clear state financial aid is goal. Takes out some normative statements, other big one I’m hesitant is sanctuary
campus thing itself. My version says opposition in state congress but don’t have precedent for sanctuary campus. Don’t want to just throw on there. Still redundant lines 47-50 talking about undocumented students being eligible for support at Duke etc.

2. Swathi – Can say urges to make statement, saying sanctuary campus says not going to cooperate with ICE.

3. Travis – Did you write this because you believed in it, or write to edit/correct others resolution? A – I agree with intents behind it, I concede that that’s why watering down language to make more passable. I think its more putting in language that we can get done. I stand behind it.

4. Thomas - Would be helpful to define undocumented students? A – Yes

5. David – Austen have you reached out to student legal services existing on campus to see how they accommodate or not undocumented students? This language is so broad don’t think gives much weight behind it without having talked to sls? A – haven’t done, it’s more pointing out goals that that conversation would have. Specifically DACA conversation, etc that would model other one.

6. Lamin – What do you mean by passable? What do you mean we didn’t accept anything? How long have we been debating this? A – I’m just proposing my view of it. Specifically the DREAM act, its about things we can actually do. Passable isn’t the point, its because there are different ways.

7. Micah – Did you work in collaboration with other authors? A – Some changes adopted, others not, didn’t get chance to look before Noah. Time crunch, yes I did work with Lamin and others in corner to come to consensus.

8. Steven – In first one voting on this, explicitly state grad student extended on in state tuition? A – Something brought up in committee, if not passable should be included.

9. Swathi – Almost in direct response, to all of you back there. Isn’t meant to be rivalry, just another option.

10. Joe- Swathi are you saying want language to say urges instead of something else? A – No, that was from Sarah Brenner. She was saying…its been changed.

ii. Comments to improve

1. Jesse – Important to note while I like what its looking to accomplish, rules about lobbying aren’t there to be loopholes.
They’re there because we’re a student government organization. It’s important to take step back from drama of this and whatever our personal feelings are, ask ourselves what is our role as legislative body representing students, is this something we’re experts on? Even if we do feel qualified is it our role in this capacity?

2. David – Going off that I said its important to reach out to people who deal with this on daily basis, sls and others, important to do the research. Important to have research behind it to justify and validate?

3. Jacob – Please add number at the top, line numbers, for the record, this resolution is legal and we are not doing legal lobbying.

4. Alex – I know from my recent weekend at the hospital, also probably for med students and residents. I’m not med student, they are having concerns there as well

5. David – If we do see collaboration, important for time extends beyond resolution meeting. Don’t think a lot would be solved in one meeting before the deadline. I suggest meetings during the week can do more than just resolutions meeting.

6. Travis – I know miscommunication, your name is on other, I would fix some of that, the way that it is brought up looks to undercut. Clear the air. Our job isn’t to undercut students.

XIII. Old Business

a. A.R. 5-008: A Resolution to Defend Immigrant Sanctuary Cities and to Make The University of Michigan a Sanctuary Campus For Immigrants, Support a Statewide DREAM ACT and Provide Financial Aid And Scholarships For Undocumented Students

i. Lamin – Here again with this resolution, in terms of saying we haven’t debated this, it’s a wrong statement. During the resolutions committee I sat here and thought that I was talking to people who maybe cared about this, we went line by line. If I hadn’t sent it to them they could have asked me. I don’t have an agenda beyond voicing concerns. For me to be here and sit with people and go line by line and then to come say all of these things is terrible. We have taken in a lot of the changes and considered everything everyone said. At this point I’ve said everything I’ve wanted to say its up to you to vote

1. Alex – What are the biggest discrepancies between the two that you feel makes this one stronger than the other? A – Yield to Community Member.
ii. Community Member – We’ve been talking about this for 4 weeks, every week you have questions, that’s something we worked out in this resolution. It should be available to student body as a whole. So you can feel like you’re knowledgeable body that can make decisions. That’s what we have I believe accomplished with this resolution and what we’ve been working toward. This hasn’t just been a fun Q and A; it’s an opportunity for everyone here to learn about these issues.

1. Alex – What are the concrete differences? A – On Thursdays grad undocumented students meet at Ford 6pm, in terms of wider agenda of DREAM Act and DACA, this covers them more in terms of supporting wider movement of student trying to get their rights on this campus. Have all these other things it’s pushing for.
2. Steven – The specific grad clauses in here? A – No DREAM Act, DACA, general info of there are Arabs, from Syria in particular. Informing you and telling you of aims that these student have. They meet at Ford, if you want to go I’ll send you an email
3. Jesse – If I go to sls with exterior matter and ask for help do I just get lawyer for free? A – Yes
4. Jesse – There are undocumented student at this school meeting at Ford, are we absolutely certain they cant go to sls? A – No we didn’t say that, we’re talking about them getting particular legal service scattered to them. Immigration is very specific thing.
5. Jesse – Resolved line beginning on 84 is saying university should appoint or hire lawyer specifically for purpose of catering to undocumented students? A – It’s not just 10 undocumented students on this campus its many and something that should be a part of legal service repertoire so they can point you in right direction. Make sure we have people able to do that, if you’re undocumented

iii. Lamin – As international student, few places I go people can understand and I’d have to find lawyer myself. Deter to Community Member.
iv. Community Member – We’re talking about DACA specifically, there’s a simple form lawyers can figure out when students apply for DACA, national program Obama put out it’s no big mystery.

1. David – I’ve been reading resolved clauses, they appear nearly identical...comparing two clauses appear identical with exception of being word for word? A – They’re identical because Austen was at the meeting and we changed resolved clause to what he suggested. He’s an author for that reason. We didn’t know he was making new resolution.
2. David – To be clear is your objection the wording as I related to DACA, its tailored to Austen? A – No ours is more thorough, has more info more comprehensive overall. Immigrant equity is something we talked about in meeting on Sunday as a factually untrue statement…explains situation more and it’s more comprehensive. What Swathi said for the report is inaccurate and improper for someone on the resolutions committee to lie, to report that not all changes where made when they were and we added Austen as author. Now you’re saying that’s not what happened. That’s why we did the new resolution

3. Ben - Were there suggested changes not made? A – Couldn’t remember had to check email…yes, Donald Trump being racist was taken out. They suggested changing bigotry to sentiment…that’s what you’re saying minor unchanged things.

v. Proposed Amendments:
1. Jared – Reminder on line 84 can we add at beginning, CSG calls on UM to reform.
4. Farah – Are we proposing unchanged amendments? A – We’re moving into 3rd reads to have debated. POI is about following meeting rules.

vi. Vote – 9 in favor, 10 opposed, 12 abstain
1. Resolution does not pass
   a. Brandon – POI what is yes with rights, no with rights? A - Rights means if yes get to state reasons for no, vice versa.
   c. Austen POI Difference between pass/abstain? A – Pass once, abstain is not voting.
   d. Caroline POI If not pass now can pass later? A – No

2. Rights
   a. Tristan – Members of BAMN have become more than certain CSG members.
   b. Steven – Working together towards common goal does not mean uniformity in word, I’m disappointed by failure to support resolution and students.
   c. Hannah – I was at resolutions meeting and went line by line, some changes suggested we remade. some changes not made because they are authors and should be way they
want to present to Assembly. I have nothing against other resolution but we owed it to this group to have voted on that resolution before we introduced other resolution, created more conflict than productive work. A lot of people behind idea didn’t come to resolutions committee meeting or email committee. Weren’t any proposed amendments.

b. A.R. 5-010: A Resolution to Recognize Our Veterans & Freedom Fighters
   i. Ben – POI does assembly member have to bring forward? A – Introduced by Lucky last week.
   ii. Grant– I’d like to thank the committee for their time, changes made is the formatting was changed in resolution to reflect proper resolution formatting. Also changes pinpoint of resolution to pinpoint fact that if a friend died, a student can’t take the day off without penalty to memorialize that day. Only way to offer is to put under just policies. I’ve had discussions about this idea, does it have support of university veterans etc. Hopefully this is best resolution we could possibly have, tomorrow is Veteran’s Day, I hope I can count on your support and look forward to questions and concerns.

   1. Joe – Yesterday was Freedom Day, I heard about a demonstration wanted to know if relating to your organization and if this would be promoted in the future. There was a Berlin Wall being torn down. If this resolution would promote activities like that in future? A – World Freedom Day was resolution signed by President Obama so not a partisan nor political issue its about the students.
   2. Ramon – 18 and 20, specifically recognized by whom? A – Typically before recommend to make change regarding specific days they first recognize actual day then recommend change so going by standard format. Did discuss in resolutions committee and all agreed.
   3. David – I understand the point and think its good but as to world freedom day what about recognizing how that translates to campus? A – That’s important, student family members have been in these situations, it’s important to recognize both of them and give students chance to reflect
   4. Joe – I thought we clarified last time that it was stated in planners. What else to do to recognize? A – Line 22, only recommendation.
6. Ben – Clarifying, if a veteran or family member needed to miss class to recognize the would be treated same as religious holiday? A – Correct, not penalized for missing class.

7. Sloane – Thought about adding clause with what other schools do? OSU has no school tomorrow? A – We should be taking baby steps, don’t want to change academic calendar when already have problems. Can create a list but it’d be wasting paper. Save paper, it’s seen across country.

8. Ben – During amendments would you be opposed to religious holidays just for veterans and their families? A – If that were to happen that would be frowned upon.

iii. Proposed Amendments

1. Ben – Line 24, religious holidays for veterans and families in accordance with…Friendly
2. Sloane – For veterans and families…it could affect people with friends, I know it adds a loophole.
3. Ben – Veterans, families, and direct relations to veterans? Friendly
4. Farah – Exact phrase?
5. Ben – Veteran’s families and direct relations to veterans.
6. Andrew – Change direct relationships to loved ones? Would negate amendment. Shall be recommended to UM by CSG. Friendly

iv. Vote – 24 in favor, 2 oppose, 4 abstain

1. Resolution passes
   a. Tristan – Totally my own opinion, this country has a complex with translating to veterans as symbol of US interests, leads to hyper nationalism.

XIV. Motions and Other Business

XV. Announcements and Matters Arising

a. Jared – 6:15 tomorrow, Rules Committee

b. Swathi – To the people in the back, I’m sorry you don’t feel heard. We felt that it was different enough to introduce. I agree that we could have had more tact, maybe should have waited past this week. I hope you recognize we care about this issue and will work with us in future. Sarah Brenner: Also the Josh Levine 5k is this Sunday.

c. Austen – Echoing and apologizing, still want to work with you guys.
d. David – Look out for email regarding collaboration and DPS work. I know this meeting was long and charged so if anyone wants to talk, I would be more than happy to talk. I would welcome talking.
e. Ben – Talk to your fellow reps, we understand they have other obligations but ask them to hold accountability and be here.
f. Thomas – Body Peace Corps is planning National Eating Disorder Awareness Week if you want to get involved. We need help so if you’re interested come talk to me.
g. Sloane – Can I be added to FB group? A – Yes.
h. Alex – Whoever’s in charge of football Saturday’s dining come talk to me afterwards.
i. Brandon – Diversity summit still going on this week. We are CSG and should have presence there.
j. Sean – Take time to fill out IGR feedback form.
k. Cooper – Alex, was joint effort, just released article in the Daily, Opinion on course evaluations. Share on FB and other platforms so can inform students.
l. Alex – I sent out doodle.
m. Clyde– with IGR, I hear different perspectives think more about what you heard, things you might not think show up here do show up here
n. Noah – Thanks David, use debate period before we vote on resolutions to express opinions and share why for or against. Your voice and opinions matter. Having these conversations outside of meetings and during debate, it’s an opportunity we have to share your thinking. Next week we have 5 resolutions and old business. Utilize the opportunity.
o. Ben-POI Meeting Tuesday before Thanksgiving? A – Yes.

XVI. Closing Roll Call
XVII. Adjournment 11:14pm